

The Planning Inspectorate National Infrastructure Planning Temple Quay House 2 The Square Bristol, BS1 6PN

## By email

Dear Sir / Madam,

12 November 2024

Document Reference: MOR001-FLO-CON-ENV-LET-0015

Your Reference: EN010136 [PD-004]

Dear Sir / Madam,

## Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Response to Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets Examining Authority's First Written Questions

This letter provides Morcambe Offshore Windfarm Limited's (MOWL) responses to the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets Examining Authority's first written questions and requests for information [PD-004] for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets EN010136 (see **Table 1**).

Yours sincerely,



Oliver Gardner Consent Manager, Morecambe Generation Assets



Table 1 Responses to Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets Examination Questions 1 [PD-004]

ExQ1	Question	MOWL response		
Cross-topic, General and Miscellaneous				
GEN 1.5	Interrelationship report on other infrastructure projects  An Interrelationship Report was submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 1 [REP1-017].  The applicants of the other named projects which are IPs in this Examination are asked to provide comments on the content of the Report.	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd have reviewed the Interrelationship Report and were provided an early draft by the Applicant to allow input and collaboration. As such Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd are generally aligned on the overall content of the Report.  It is noted that a similar document has been requested as part of the Examination in respect of the Morecambe Generation Assets, and Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd will submit its own such interrelationship report at Deadline 1 for that Examination, which follows a similar structure and has been informed by the Applicant's Report (REP1-017).		
Marine Ornithology				
MO 1.14	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets, Collaborative Monitoring  Paragraph 2.8.87 of NPS EN-3 states that "Where appropriate, applicants are also encouraged to consider monitoring collaboratively with other developers and sea users. Work is ongoing between government and industry to support effective collaboration and the development of monitoring at a strategic level".	The Morgan Generation Assets is located beyond 10 km from the Liverpool Bay SPA and as stated by the Applicant no red-throated diver were recorded in the baseline surveys (see Table 5.12 of Volume 2, Chapter 5 Offshore ornithology (APP-023)). The Morecambe Generation Assets are adjacent to the Liverpool Bay SPA and Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd consider that there would be differences between the assessments for each project for red-throated diver.		
	The ExA is aware that the submitted IPMP for Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets (EN010121 [APP-148]) includes provision for ornithological monitoring. The Applicant and Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd are both asked to:  i) Explain what are the differences in effects to ornithological	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd. have included options for potential offshore ornithology monitoring in the IPMP submitted in the Morecambe Generation DCO Application (EN010121) as a basis for discussion with SNCBs, but with no direct commitment to monitoring. Since the submission of the IPMP, concerns in relation to potential effects on red-throated diver		



ExQ1	Ques	tion	MOWL response
	Interr	receptors that have triggered monitoring in the case of Morecambe OWF but not for the Proposed Development?  Comment on whether collaborative ornithological monitoring is being considered between Morgan and Morecambe, and if so, the form which this is likely to take. de collaborative monitoring in the next version of the elationship Report [REP1-017] (for ornithology and any other is as applicable).	(Natural England, Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets Examination Library Reference RR-061) have been raised, and this is intended to be the focus of Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd proposed ornithological monitoring (see RR-061-40 in 8.3 The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (EN010121 PD1-011, Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets Examination Library Reference)).  Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd consider discussions on ornithological monitoring for the Morgan project are best placed between the Applicant and SNCBs. While Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd would be open to consider the possibility of collaborative monitoring if any was identified to be required for the Morgan project, Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd have not identified the requirement or need for any collaborative monitoring and state in our IPMP that any opportunities would be discussed outwith the IPMP and are not required to mitigate effects from the projects.